

UNITED STATES MEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE OF	MIYAGAWA NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. N JEL 28567RE-
JAMES E LEDBETTER ESQ STEVENS DAVIS MILLER &	MOSHER L L P	EXAMINER HINDI , N
 P 0 BOX 34387 SUITE 850 WASHINGTON DC 20043-438		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

05/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or does a proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Application No.

09/460,222

Applicant(s)

MIYAGAWA ET AL

Examiner

Office Action Summary

NABIL HINDI

Art Unit 2651



	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
A SH THE I - Exter af - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn maions of time may be available under the provision ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this apperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty considered timely. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum ommunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for respectively.	ns of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed communication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). In a after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
Status	,		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Mar. 15, 2001	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) □	This action is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	ition of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>26 and 28-34</u>	is/are pending in the application.	
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>26 and 28-34</u>	is/are rejected.	
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica 9) 10) 11) 12)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction filed on The oath or declaration is objected to by	is/are objected to by the Examiner. is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.	
13)□	All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). nents have been received. nents have been received in Application No priority documents have been received in this National Stage	
	application from the Internati see the attached detailed Office action for a	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
,.	, to the design of the design for	admitted priority and a district of the total	
Attachm			
15) N	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

20) Other:

19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/460222

Art Unit: 2651

In response to applicant's amendment dated March 15, 2001 The following action is taken:

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one different error from that cited in patent reissue 08/396,981 which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CAR 1.175(a)(1) and M.E.P... § 1414.

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to contain a statement that all errors which are being corrected in the reissue application up to the time of filing of the oath/declaration arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant. See 37 CAR 1.175 and M.E.P... § 1414.

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37CFR 1.175 and M.E.P... § 1414) because of the following:

- 1. The declaration does not adequately identify at least one different error from that cited in the reissue patent 08/396, 981 that supports the filing of the reissue since that error has apparently been corrected in the parent reissue. 37CFR 1.175 (a)(1).
- 2. The declaration does not state that all errors correction in this application arose without any deceptive intention on the part of applicant.

Application/Control Number: 09/460222

Art Unit: 2651

Claims 26, and 29-34 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CAR 1.175.

1. Claims 26, and 28-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998), *In re Clement*, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States*, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

Applicant amended claims 1, 7 and 10 to include the limitation "an objective lens for converging the light flux onto a disk", "N optical heads", "N optical heads moving means" and "disk discriminating means". Such limitations are now removed from the present application. Similarly, claims 13, 16 and 19 were amended to include the limitation "plural converging grating couplers" which is now removed. This is an improper recapture of the claims.

A certificate of correction is requested for the parent reissue application citing all related reissue application.

Application/Control Number: 09/460222

Art Unit: 2651

Applicant is hereby reminded that the new supplemental declaration should cover all the errors corrected from the filing of the reissue applicant to the amendment filed Aug. 25, 2000.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL.HINDI at telephone number (703) 308.1555

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2500